

The need for dark matter in galaxies

David Garfinkle*

Department of Physics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309

Cooperstock and Tieu have proposed a model to account for galactic rotation curves without invoking dark matter. I argue that no model of this type can work.

In a recent paper[1] Cooperstock and Tieu have proposed a model of galaxies that involves only ordinary matter. The model of Ref. [1] is supposed to account for galactic rotation curves through the non-linearity of the Einstein field equations. The specific model of Ref. [1] was shown in Refs. [2, 3] to be incorrect in the sense that it contains extra matter. This matter is essentially a domain wall in the plane of the galaxy. Nonetheless, one might wonder whether the model of Ref. [1] could be somehow fixed, that is whether the non-linearity of Einstein's equation could be a viable mechanism for explaining galactic rotation curves without dark matter. I argue that no such mechanism is possible: the argument has two pieces: one having to do with the post-Newtonian approximation and the other having to do with the non-existence of geons.

The post-Newtonian approximation is usually presented as an expansion of the Einstein field equations in powers of $1/c$. However it can also be viewed as an iterative procedure that begins with a solution of the equations of Newtonian gravity and produces a sequence of better and better approximations to a solution to the Einstein field equations.[4] The post-Newtonian approximation defines from the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ the quantity $h^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} - (-g)^{1/2} g^{\mu\nu}$ where g is the determinant of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric of flat spacetime. The full non-linear Einstein field equations are then written in the form

$$\partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha h^{\mu\nu} = -16\pi\tau^{\mu\nu} \quad (1)$$

Here $\partial_\alpha \partial^\alpha$ is the flat spacetime wave operator and the quantity $\tau^{\mu\nu}$ is essentially an effective stress-energy tensor containing a contribution from the ordinary stress-energy tensor of matter and another contribution from the nonlinear terms in the Einstein field equations. Since the flat spacetime wave operator can be inverted using a Green's function, given a guess about the metric and matter fields, equation (1) can be used to find an improved guess for the metric. The matter equations of motion can similarly be iterated to provide an improved guess for the matter fields. This, along with an approximation for slow motion forms the basis of the post-Newtonian approximation. For our purposes what is important is that the post-Newtonian approximation does take into account the non-linearity of the Einstein field equations, and that for situations that are slow motion and weak gravity, the corrections to the Newtonian solution are small. Since galaxies certainly appear to be slow motion weak gravity systems (except for the black hole in the center) one would expect that the answer found by the post-Newtonian approximation should both appropriately take into account the non-linearity of the Einstein field equations and approximately agree with the results of Newtonian theory. In other words, since a Newtonian treatment of a galaxy reveals the presence of dark matter, so does a general relativistic treatment.

The only way around this argument would be if somehow the galaxy were not weakly gravitating. Then the "dark matter" would be provided by the effective energy density of the gravitational field and that field would have to be treated in full general relativity rather than the post Newtonian approximation. The matter of a galaxy could not hold together such a strong gravity configuration, so for this picture to work, the gravity waves would have to hold themselves together. In other words, the galaxy would effectively be a geon, a vacuum configuration that holds itself together: the dark matter would simply be the effective energy density of the gravitational field and the ordinary matter would simply be an additional component of the galaxy held in mostly by the gravitational field of the geon. However, this does not work either. Exactly stationary geons do not exist because the Komar formula[5] for the mass of a stationary system yields zero for vacuum solutions. But configurations that are not stationary tend to emit gravitational radiation and settle down to stationary states. Furthermore the theorem of Christodoulou and Klainerman[6] states that vacuum systems that are not sufficiently strongly gravitating will disperse. So the geon would have to be sufficiently strong to evade the theorem of Ref. [6] and at the same time sufficiently weak so that the stars in the galaxy are on slow moving orbits. Given this plethora of needed but opposing conditions, we can be confident that no such geon exists.

In conclusion, the non-linearity of Einstein's equations will not save us from the necessity of dark matter.

*Electronic address: garfinkl@oakland.edu

I. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Bob Wald for helpful discussions. This work was partially supported by NSF grant PHY-0456655 to Oakland University.

-
- [1] F. Cooperstock and S. Tieu, astro-ph/0507619
 - [2] M. Korzynski, astro-ph/0508377
 - [3] D. Vogt and P. Letelier, astro-ph/0510750
 - [4] M. Pati and C. Will, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 124015 (2000)
 - [5] A. Komar, Phys. Rev. **113**, 934 (1959)
 - [6] D. Christodoulou and S. Klainerman, *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, Princeton University Press (1993)